UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,877	02/02/2006	Louis Robert Litwin	PU030187	1132	
	24498 7590 10/26/2009 Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations			EXAMINER	
THOMSON Licensing LLC			BALAOING, ARIEL A		
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/26/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/566,877	LITWIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ARIEL BALAOING	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired to the second	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,2,5-11,14 and 16-19 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5-11,14 and 16-19 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration. d. or election requirement.	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2008</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/566,877 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/25/2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LIM et al (US 2003/0202541) in view of CHITRAPU (US 2003/0117979 A1).

Regarding claim 1, LIM discloses a method for using a wireless receiver [**UE**] (abstract), comprising: processing a first synchronization channel [**P-SCH**] of a received wireless signal to acquire slot synchronization (Figure 1; paragraph 11, 13, 18; slot timing acquisition from p-sch); adaptively controlling processing a second synchronization channel [**S-SCH**] of the wireless signal to acquire frame synchronization

(Figure 1; paragraph 11, 13, 15, 18; frame synchronization using S-SCH corresponding to peak values detected from the P-SCH); wherein the step of processing the first synchronization channel includes the step of providing a peak correlation value associated with the first synchronization channel (paragraph 13, 15, 18; multipath search calculates correlation values and then detects a plurality of correlation values which are peak values and larger than or equal to a predetermined threshold value); wherein the adaptively controlling step includes the step of: determining a number of frames to process of the received wireless signal as a function of the peak correlation value (Figure 1; paragraph 11, 13, 15, 18; processing of frames corresponding to correlation values of P-SCH); and processing the second synchronization channel over the determined number of frames to acquire frame synchronization (Figure 1; paragraph 11, 13, 15, 18; frame synchronization occurs for each determined correlation value of the P-SCH). Although LIM discloses adaptive processing of a secondary channel (i.e. S-SCH) for acquiring frame synchronization, LIM does not expressly disclose adaptively controlling a duration for processing a second synchronization channel. In the same field of endeavor, CHITRAPU discloses adaptively controlling a duration for processing a second synchronization channel (Figure 10; abstract; paragraph 6, 30, 46-49; a number of frames is dynamically processed according to a confidence value/threshold. Furthermore, a threshold can be used to filter identified peaks of a primary synchronization channel). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify LIM to include the teachings of CHITRAPU, since CHITRAPU discloses that such a modification would allow a

system to reduce processing time and memory resources associated with cell search (see paragraph 7).

Regarding claim 2, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. LIM further discloses wherein the first synchronization channel is a primary synchronization subchannel and the second synchronization channel is a secondary synchronization subchannel of a universal mobile telephone system [W-CDMA] (paragraph 13).

Regarding claim 6, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. LIM further discloses wherein the step of processing the first synchronization channel includes the step of providing multiple correlation values, including the peak correlation value, associated with the first synchronization channel (paragraph 11, 13, 15).

Regarding claim 7, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. The combination of LIM and CHITRAPU further discloses wherein the determining step determines the number of frames to process of the received wireless signal as a function of the peak correlation value and at least one other value (LIM – paragraph 18; CHITRAPU – paragraph 30; filtering based on threshold values).

Regarding claim 14, LIM discloses Universal Mobile Telephone System [**UE**] equipment (abstract) comprising: a front end for receiving a wireless signal representing a sequence of frames and for providing a stream of received samples therefrom (Figure 1; paragraph 11, 13, 18; slot timing acquisition from p-sch); and a processor for

adaptively controlling of performing frame synchronization on the received samples (Figure 1; paragraph 11, 13, 15, 18; frame synchronization using S-SCH corresponding to peak values detected from the P-SCH); a primary synchronization element operative on the received samples for acquiring slot synchronization to a primary synchronization signal of the received wireless signal and for providing a peak correlation value associated therewith (paragraph 13, 15, 18; multipath search calculates correlation values and then detects a plurality of correlation values which are peak values and larger than or equal to a predetermined threshold value); and a secondary synchronization element operative on the received samples for acquiring frame synchronization to a secondary synchronization signal of the received wireless signal (Figure 1; paragraph 11, 13, 15, 18; processing of frames corresponding to correlation values of P-SCH); wherein the processor determines a number of frames for the secondary synchronization element to process for acquiring frame synchronization as a function of the peak correlation value (Figure 1; paragraph 11, 13, 15, 18; frame synchronization occurs for each determined correlation value of the P-SCH). Although LIM discloses adaptive processing of a secondary channel (i.e. S-SCH) for acquiring frame synchronization, LIM does not expressly disclose adaptively controlling a duration for processing a second synchronization channel. In the same field of endeavor, CHITRAPU discloses adaptively controlling a duration for processing a second synchronization channel (Figure 10; abstract; paragraph 6, 30, 46-49; a number of frames is dynamically processed according to a confidence value/threshold. Furthermore, a threshold can be used to filter identified peaks of a primary

Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

synchronization channel). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify LIM to include the teachings of CHITRAPU, since CHITRAPU discloses that such a modification would allow a system to reduce processing time and memory resources associated with cell search (see paragraph 7).

Regarding claim 16, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. The combination of LIM and CHITRAPU further discloses wherein the processor determines the number of frames for the secondary synchronization element to process for acquiring frame synchronization as a function of the peak correlation value and at least one other correlation value (LIM – paragraph 18; CHITRAPU – paragraph 30; filtering based on threshold values).

5. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LIM et al (US 2003/0202541) in view of CHITRAPU (US 2003/0117979 A1) and further in view of NEW (US 2003/0045299 A1).

Regarding claim 5, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. However, the combination of LIM and CHITRAPU does not expressly wherein the processing the second synchronization channel includes the steps of: comparing an estimated received sequence to each one of a plurality of possible received sequences, each sequence including a plurality of symbols; and after each comparison to one of the plurality of possible sequences, identifying one of the plurality of possible sequences as a possible best match; wherein, in the comparing step, if a number of mismatches for a current comparison is greater

than or equal to a number of mismatches associated with the possible best match, the current comparison is abandoned and a new comparison is begun. In the same field of endeavor, NEW discloses wherein the processing the second synchronization channel includes the steps of: comparing an estimated received sequence to each one of a plurality of possible received sequences, each sequence including a plurality of symbols (306, paragraph 26, 33, 34; synchronization code represents a sequence of symbols); and after each comparison to one of the plurality of possible sequences, identifying one of the plurality of possible sequences as a possible best match (308 paragraph 26, 33, 34); wherein, in the comparing step, if a number of mismatches for a current comparison is greater than or equal to a number of mismatches associated with the possible best match, the current comparison is abandoned and a new comparison is begun (330, 336 paragraph 26, 33, 34, 40; predetermined number of verifications searches to be performed). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of LIM and CHITRAPU to include the teachings of NEW, since NEW states that such a modification would allow a mobile device to synchronize to a base station during rapidly changing channel conditions (paragraph 12).

Regarding claim 8, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. However, the combination of LIM and CHITRAPU does not expressly wherein the step of processing the second synchronization channel includes the steps of: correlating the received wireless signal to provide an estimate of a received sequence over the determined number of frames; and comparing the

Application/Control Number: 10/566,877

Art Unit: 2617

Page 8

estimated received sequence to each one of a plurality of expected received sequences to determine a number of matches thereto; and if the number of matches to at least one of the plurality of expected received sequences exceeds a predefined threshold, breaking out of the step of processing the second synchronization channel. In the same field of endeavor, NEW discloses wherein the step of processing the second synchronization channel includes the steps of: correlating the received wireless signal to provide an estimate of a received sequence over the determined number of frames (306, paragraph 26, 33, 34; synchronization code represents a sequence of symbols); and comparing the estimated received sequence to each one of a plurality of expected received sequences to determine a number of matches thereto (308 paragraph 26, 33, 34); and if the number of matches to at least one of the plurality of expected received sequences exceeds a predefined threshold, breaking out of the step of processing the second synchronization channel (330, 336 paragraph 26, 33, 34, 40; predetermined number of verifications searches to be performed). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of LIM and CHITRAPU to include the teachings of NEW, since NEW states that such a modification would allow a mobile device to synchronize to a base station during rapidly changing channel conditions (paragraph 12).

6. Claims 9-11, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LIM et al (US 2003/0202541) in view of CHITRAPU (US 2003/0117979 A1) and further in view of MATHEW et al (US 2004/0161020 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/566,877

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 9, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. However, the combination of LIM and CHITRAPU does not expressly disclose wherein the adaptively controlling step includes the steps of: processing the second synchronization channel to form cumulative data representing a possible scrambling code group comprising an M symbol sequence; determining a number of matches between the M symbol sequence of the possible scrambling code group and each scrambling code group of a set of scrambling code groups; and if the determined number of matches for at-least-one scrambling code group of the set of scrambling code groups exceeds a predefined value, selecting the at-least-one scrambling code group as the scrambling code group for use in acquiring frame synchronization. In a similar field of endeavor, MATHEW discloses wherein an adaptively controlling step includes the steps of: processing the second synchronization channel to form cumulative data representing a possible scrambling code group comprising an M symbol sequence(Figure 4, 7; paragraph 35, 37-39; correlation of each scrambling code group); determining a number of matches between the M symbol sequence of the possible scrambling code group and each scrambling code group of a set of scrambling code groups (Figure 4, 7; paragraph 35, 37-39); and if the determined number of matches for at-least-one scrambling code group of the set of scrambling code groups exceeds a predefined value, selecting the at-least-one scrambling code group as the scrambling code group for use in acquiring frame synchronization (Figure 4, 7; paragraph 35, 37-39; correlation of consecutive slot boundaries). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Page 9

was made to modify the combination of LIM and CHITRAPU to include the teachings of MATHEW, both systems provide synchronization based on primary and secondary codes and would allow threshold based determination of candidate code groups.

Regarding claim 10, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. The combination of LIM, CHITRAPU, and MATHEW further discloses wherein the selecting step includes the step of halting further processing of received frames in the received wireless signal (MATHEW – Figure 4; processing of code groups ends when code is declared scrambling code for the cell).

Regarding claim 11, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. The combination of LIM, CHITRAPU, and MATHEW further discloses wherein the selecting step includes the step of: if more than one scrambling code group of the scrambling code group set exceeds the determined number of matches, selecting the scrambling code group with the most number of matches (MATHEW – Figure 4; paragraph 37-39; group providing maximum correlation is chosen from groups above peak threshold).

Regarding claim 17, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. However, the combination of LIM and CHITRAPU does not expressly disclose wherein the secondary synchronization element operative on the received samples subsequent to slot synchronization also provides a possible scrambling code group comprising an M symbol sequence; wherein the processor (a) determines a number of matches between the M symbol sequence of the possible

Page 11

Art Unit: 2617

scrambling code group and each scrambling code group of a set of scrambling code groups, and (b) if the determined number of matches for at-least-one scrambling code group of the set of scrambling code groups exceeds a predefined value, selects the atleast-one scrambling code group as the scrambling code group for use in acquiring frame synchronization. In the same field of endeavor, MATHEW discloses wherein the secondary synchronization element operative on the received samples subsequent to slot synchronization also provides a possible scrambling code group comprising an M symbol sequence (Figure 4, 7; paragraph 35, 37-39; correlation of each scrambling code group); wherein the processor (a) determines a number of matches between the M symbol sequence of the possible scrambling code group and each scrambling code group of a set of scrambling code groups, and (b) if the determined number of matches for at-least-one scrambling code group of the set of scrambling code groups exceeds a predefined value, selects the at-least-one scrambling code group as the scrambling code group for use in acquiring frame synchronization (Figure 4, 7; paragraph 35, 37-39; correlation of consecutive slot boundaries). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of LIM and CHITRAPU to include the teachings of MATHEW, both systems provide synchronization based on primary and secondary codes and would allow threshold based determination of candidate code groups.

Regarding claim 18, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. The combination of LIM, CHITRAPU, and MATHEW further discloses wherein the processor halts further processing of received

Application/Control Number: 10/566,877 Page 12

Art Unit: 2617

frames in the received wireless signal if the determined number of matches for at-least-one scrambling code group exceeds the predefined value (MATHEW – Figure 4; processing of code groups ends when code is declared scrambling code for the cell 4).

Regarding claim 19, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. The combination of LIM, CHITRAPU, and MATHEW further discloses wherein if more than one scrambling code group of a scrambling code group set exceeds a determined number of matches, the processor selects the scrambling code group with the most number of matches (Figure 4; paragraph 37-39; group providing maximum correlation is chosen from groups above peak threshold).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARIEL BALAOING whose telephone number is (571)272-7317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, V. Paul Harper can be reached on (571) 272-7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,877 Page 13

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/VINCENT P. HARPER/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Ariel Balaoing/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/A. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 2617